



What do you make of the finding of this tomb with Jesus' name on it ?

Maybe the best answer I can give is to include an excerpt of an article by Dr. Paul Maier published on the official web site of the Lutheran Church – Missouri Synod. (Note especially point #9) Dr. Maier is an archaeologist and professor of Ancient History at Western Michigan University. You can read the entire article and another by seminary professor Dr. Jeffrey Kloha at www.lcms.org.

Dear Friends and Readers,

Thanks for the profusion of e-mails I've received over the last two days regarding the Talpiot tombs discovery in Jerusalem, a.k.a., "the Jesus Family Tomb" story.

This whole affair is just the latest in the long-running media attack on the historical Jesus, which I call "More Junk on Jesus." We all thought it had culminated in that book of falsehoods, *The Da Vinci Code*. But no: The caricatures of Christ continue.

Please, lose no sleep over the Talpiot "discoveries" for the following reasons, and here are the facts:

(1) Nothing is new here: Scholars have known about the ossuaries ever since March of 1980, so this is old news recycled. The general public learned about the ossuaries when the BBC filmed a documentary on them in 1996, and the "findings" tanked again. James Tabor's book, *The Jesus Dynasty*, also made a big fuss over the Talpiot tombs more recently, and now James Cameron ("Titanic") and Simcha Jacobovici have climbed aboard the sensationalist bandwagon as well.

(2) All the names—Yeshua (Joshua, Jesus), Joseph, Maria, Mariamene, Matia, Judah, and Jose—are extremely common Jewish names for that time and place, and thus nearly all scholars consider that these names are merely coincidental, as they did from the start. Some scholars dispute that "Yeshua" is even one of the names. One out of four Jewish women at that time, for example, was named Maria. There are 21 Yeshuas cited by Josephus, the first-century Jewish historian, who were important enough to be recorded by him, with many thousands of others that never made history. The wondrous mathematical odds hyped by Jacobovici that these names *must* refer to Jesus and His family are simply playing by numbers and lying by statistics.

(4) Why in the world would the "Jesus Family" have a burial site in Jerusalem, of all places, the very city that crucified Jesus? Galilee was their home. In Galilee they *could* have had such a family plot, not Judea. Besides all of which, church tradition and the earliest Christian historian, Eusebius of Caesarea, are unanimous in reporting that Mary, the mother of Jesus, died in Ephesus, where the apostle John, faithful to his commission from Jesus on the cross, had accompanied her.

(5) The "Jesus Family" simply could not have afforded the large crypt uncovered at Talpiot, which housed, or could have housed, 200 ossuaries.

6) If this were Jesus' family burial site, what is Matthew doing there—if indeed "Matia" is thus to be translated?

(7) How come there is no tradition whatever— Christian, Jewish, or secular—that any part of the Holy Family was buried at Jerusalem?

(8) Please note the extreme bias of the director and narrator, Simcha Jacobovici. The man is an Indiana Jones wannabe who over sensationalizes anything he touches. You may have caught him on his TV special regarding The Exodus, in which he "explained" just about everything that still needed proving or explaining in the Exodus account in the Old Testament! It finally became ludicrous, and now he's doing it again, though in reverse—this time attacking the Scriptural record. As for James Cameron, how do you follow the success of Titanic? Well, with an even more "titanic" story. He should have known better, and the television footage of the two making their drastic statements on Monday, February 26, was disgusting, and their subsequent claim that they respected Jesus nauseating.

9) Even Israeli authorities, who—were they anti-Christian—might have used this "discovery" to discredit Christianity, did not do so. Quite the opposite. Joe Zias, for example, for years the director of the Rockefeller Museum in Jerusalem, holds Jacobovici's claims up for scorn and his documentary as "nonsense." Those involved in the project "have no credibility whatever," he added. Amos Kloner, the first archaeologist to examine the site, said the conclusions in question fail to hold up by archaeological standards "but make for profitable television." William Dever, one of America's most prominent archaeologists, said, "This would be amusing if it didn't mislead so many people."

(10) Finally, and most importantly, there is no external literary or historical evidence whatever that Jesus' family was interred together in a common burial place anywhere, let alone Jerusalem. The evidence, in fact, totally controverts all this in the case of Jesus: All four Gospels, the letters of St. Paul, and the common testimony of the early church state that Jesus rose from the dead, and did not leave His bones behind in any ossuary, as the current sensationalists claim.

Bottom line: This is merely naked hype, baseless sensationalism, and nothing less than a media fraud—"more junk on Jesus."

With warm regards,

Paul L. Maier, Ph.D., Litt.D.

As the old saying goes, "Consider the source." In other words, "Does the person making the claim have a natural bias that would call into question the truth of the statement?" **This is coming from Hollywood. That's probably all that needs to be said.**

Thanks for asking,
Pastor David

Send your questions to <mailto:pastor@livingwordlutheran.net>